



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/789,217

02/27/2004

Chan-Yul Kim

5000-1-446

9120

33942

7590

08/15/2007

CHA & REITER, LLC

210 ROUTE 4 EAST STE 103

PARAMUS, NJ 07652

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, LEON VIET Q

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/15/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/789,217	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner Leon-Viet Q. Nguyen	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 3,4 and 12 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5,10, and 11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 7/20/07. Claims 1-12 are pending on this application.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 5, 10, and 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Remarks

Applicant argues that Shen does not disclose that a clock signal can be recovered from transmitted data, wherein transmitted data does not require a clock component (Remarks page 11).

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

It is well known to ones of ordinary skill in the art that clock and data recovery units convert an incoming data signal to a clock signal using the bit rate of the incoming signal. The CDR unit 1512 in fig. 5D of Shen does this, as disclosed in paragraph 0078 of Shen. The CDR unit covers a first clock signal *representing* the non-uniform rate and the values of the data represented in the non-uniform signal. So in fact the clock signal is produced based on the incoming data signal which has no clock component.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shen et al (US20020039211).**

Re claim 1, Shen discloses a clock and data recovery device capable of recovering a clock from data transmitted at a variable data rate, the CDR device comprising:

a reference clock generating section (synthesizer 1526 in fig. 5D) arranged to generate a reference clock corresponding to the variable data rate (¶0078) in accordance with a control signal (synthesizer 1526 in fig. 5D controlled through communication unit 1614 by control unit 1600 in fig. 15, ¶0076) ;

a clock and data recovery section (CDR unit 1512 in fig. 5D) arranged to receive the transmitted data (CDR unit 1512 receiving transmitted data 160B), recover a clock and data from the received data and output the recovered clock and data (¶0123);

a control section (control unit 1600 in fig. 15) arranged to generate the control signal according to the variable data rate (¶0076, control unit for controlling the variable rate input and output) and send the control signal to the reference clock generating section (¶0076, control unit 1600 in fig. 15 coupled by communication unit 1614 in fig. 5D to send signals to synthesizer 1526 in fig. 5D); and

wherein the transmitted data received by said clock and data recovery section does not require a clock component (§0078, it is inherent that CDR units recover a clock signal from the bit rate of an input signal).

Re claim 10, Shen discloses a method for recovering a clock from data transmitted at a variable data rate, the method comprising the steps of:

generating a control signal based upon the data rate signal of a received data signal (§0078);

generating a reference clock in accordance with the control signal (§0078);

recovering a clock and data from the received data signal wherein the received data signal does not require a clock component (§0078, it is inherent that CDR units recover a clock signal from the bit rate of an input signal); and

outputting the recovered clock and data (§0123).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen et al (US20020039211) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bellisio (US4015083).**

Re claim 2, Shen teaches a CDR device wherein said reference clock generating section (synthesizer 1526 in fig. 5D, ¶0078) comprises:

a basic clock generator arranged to generate a basic clock as an internal clock (¶0079-¶0080, the on-board reference clock interpreted to be the basic clock generator);

a first divider arranged to divide the basic clock generated by the basic clock generator by a first value P set by the control section (divider /R 1583 in fig. 5G);

a frequency detector (PFD 1585 in fig. 5G) arranged to compare the divided basic clock (the output of divider /R in fig. 5D) with an output signal;

a loop filter arranged to filter an error signal output from the frequency detector and compensate for a feedback loop (phase lock loop 1581 in fig. 5G, it is well known in the art that phase lock loops comprise of a loop filter);

a first voltage-controlled oscillator arranged to extract a phase-synchronized clock under the control of the loop filter (VCO 1591 in fig. 5G).

However Shen fails to teach wherein a CDR device comprises:

A frequency detector which compares a clock with an output signal of a multiplier and outputs an error; and

a multiplier arranged to multiply the synchronized clock output from the first voltage-controlled oscillator by a second value Q set by the control section to output the reference clock.

Art Unit: 2611

Bellisio teaches a timing recovery circuit (10 in fig. 1) with a frequency detector (18 in fig. 1) with multipliers (20 and 21 in fig. 1) and the timing recovery circuit outputs error signals (col. 2 lines 45-47). Bellisio also teaches a multiplier (92 in fig. 1) which multiplies the output of a controlled oscillator (22 in fig. 1) with the output of a timing extractor (15 in fig. 1, col. 2 lines 31-33, the timing extractor is interpreted to be a controller).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Shen and Bellisio as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the timing recovery circuit of Bellisio into the CDR device of Shen. The motivation to combine Bellisio and Shen would be to reduce any differences between the bit rate of a received digital data stream and the frequency of a controlled oscillator (col. 2 lines 48-50).

Re claim 11, all of the claim limitations as recited have been analyzed and addressed in the above rejections with respect to claim 2. It would be necessary to have a method of using the apparatus as claimed in claim 2.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shen et al (US20020039211) and Bellisio (US4015083) and further in view Shimizu et al (US5524103).

Re claim 5, the modified invention of Shen and Bellisio fails to teach a CDR

Art Unit: 2611

device wherein the reference clock is calculated by the equation: $f_{ref} = (\text{basic clock}) \times Q/P$ (wherein P and Q are parameters set by the control section). However Shimizu teaches a clock synthesizer (synthesizer 8 in fig. 12) which issues a reference clock (64 in fig. 12) which is calculated from a basic clock oscillator (oscillator 7 in fig. 12) divided by the frequency of a basic clock (col. 10 lines 48-51, the frequency of a basic clock is interpreted to be a control parameter).

Therefore taking the modified teachings of Shen and Bellisio with Shimizu as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of generating a reference clock of Shimizu into the CDR device of Shen and Bellisio. The motivation to combine Shimizu, Bellisio and Shen would be to detect errors and controlling an output such that a clock and reference clock coincide (col. 7 lines 19-23).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3, 4, and 12 are allowed.
7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the allowable subject matter in claims 3, 4 and 12 pertain to a NRZ (No Return to Zero)-PRZ(Pseudo Return to Zero) converter arranged to convert an NRZ signal having no clock component into a PRZ signal including a clock component and outputting the PRZ signal; a phase/frequency detector arranged to compare the reference clock output from the reference clock generating section with a clock component of the signal outputted from the NRZ-PRZ converter to detect a phase error

Art Unit: 2611

there between, compare a clock of a signal outputted from a second divider, which has been produced by dividing an output clock of a second voltage-controlled oscillator by a third value M set by the control section, with the clock component of the signal outputted from the NRZ-PRZ converter to detect a frequency error there between, and output the frequency error; a filter arranged to filter the frequency error and compensate for a feedback loop; a second voltage-controlled oscillator arranged to output a phase-synchronized clock according to the control of the filter; a second divider arranged to divide the synchronized clock outputted from the second voltage-controlled oscillator by a third value M which is set by the control section and output the divided clock; and an output section arranged to receive NRZ data and the synchronized clock output from the second voltage-controlled oscillator and output a combined a clock and data signal.

8. Claims 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2611

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon-Viet Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-270-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday, alternate friday off, 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leon-Viet Nguyen/
Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2611


DAVID C. PAYNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER